

Review MEST- M____

PART A:**SECTION I**

Name and surname of the reviewer	
Reviewer's ORCID (optional)	
E-Mail	
Phone (optional)	
Manuscript No.	M_...
Title	
Author / Authors	----
Sent to reviewer	
The expected date of receipt of reviews	

PART B: *Reviewer only***SECTION II: Comments of manuscript**

General comment	
Introduction	
Methodology	
Results	
Discussion	
Findings	

SECTION II (continuation) (Click on the box next to the appropriate answer and check in one of the categories, or delete unnecessary if you are unable to check the desired box)

Bibliography / References	Literature is relevant Citation is following the requirements	Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
Figures	Figures are appropriate	Yes ☐ No ☐
Tables:	Tables are appropriate	Yes ☐ No ☐

SECTION III

Please rate it from one of: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Correct) (4 = Poor)

Originality	
Scientific contribution	
Technical quality of the article	
Clarity of presentation	
Depth of study	

SECTION IV – Recommendations for publication:

(Please select one of the options with an X)

Accept the article "as it is"	
The work requires minor repairs	
The work requires small-scale changes	
The work requires large-scale changes	
The work is good but it is not for publishing in the MEST Journal. It could be published in another journal, for example (propose)	
Work has to be rejected because (please specify the particular reason)	

SECTION V: Additional comments

This part of the review is confidential and will be available only to editors of the MEST Journal. If you have any special comment to the editors, you can enter it here.